The higher-Order CESE Method for two-dimensional Shallow Water Magnetohydrodynamics Equations
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Abstract. The numerical solution of one and two dimensional shallow water magnetohydrodynamics model is obtained using the 4\textsuperscript{th}-order conservation element solution element method (CESE). The method is based on unified treatment of spatial and temporal dimensions contrary to the finite difference and finite volume methods. The higher-order CESE scheme is constructed using same definitions of conservation and solution elements that are used for 2\textsuperscript{nd}-order CESE scheme formulation. Hence it is more convenient to increase accuracy of CESE methods as compared to the finite difference and finite volume methods. Moreover the scheme is developed using the conservative formulation and does not require change in the source term for treating the degenerate hyperbolic nature of shallow water magnetohydrodynamics system due to divergence constraint. The spatial and temporal derivatives have been obtained by incorporating 3\textsuperscript{rd}-order Taylor expansion and the projection method is used to handle the divergence constraint. The accuracy and robustness of the extended method is tested by performing benchmark numerical tests taken from the literature. Numerical experiments revealed the accuracy and computational efficiency of the scheme.
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1. Introduction

Gilman was the pioneer to introduce the shallow water magnetohydrodynamics (hereafter referred as SWMHD) system to mathematically model the physical phenomena in the solar tachocline \cite{9}. The solar tachocline is a thin region inside a star where heat radiates from the interior zone to the outer turbulent zone predominantly. Its thickness ranges between 2 to 5 percentage of the solar radius. Because of predominantly radiative heat transfer and its thin vertical thickness the tachocline can be described similarly to ocean
and atmosphere. This urges the introduction of MHD analogue of shallow water system (SW) to study physical processes in solar tachocline. Although the SWMHD system has been derived with one layer assumption, it is possible to add little changes to link more than one layer as in hydrodynamics case. This indeed allows to study several kind of solar dynamics within solar tachocline reference their in [5–7, 9].

Following the derivations by [9] and Rossmanith [16], the SWMHD system is written below for incompressible flow under magnetohydrodstatic equilibrium in the z-direction.

\[
\rho \equiv \text{constant},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( p + \frac{\| B \|^2}{2} \right) = \rho g.
\]

The reader is referred to [10] for complete derivation of the model. The one dimensional SWMHD equations in the conservative formulation is given as below:

\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

\[
q = \begin{bmatrix}
    h \\
    h u_1 \\
    h u_2 \\
    h B_1 \\
    h B_2
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
f = \begin{bmatrix}
    h u_1 \\
    h u_2 + \frac{1}{2} g h^2 - h B_1^2 \\
    h u_1 u_2 - h B_1 B_2 \\
    0 \\
    h u_1 B_2 - h u_2 B_1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
g = \begin{bmatrix}
    h u_2 \\
    h u_1 u_2 - h B_1 B_2 \\
    h u_2 + \frac{1}{2} g h^2 - h B_2^2 \\
    h u_2 B_1 - h u_1 B_2 \\
    0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( g \) is the gravitational constant, the variables \( v_1, v_2, B_1, B_2 \) are the velocity and magnetic field components respectively and \( h \) is the layer depth. This system is degenerate hyperbolic due to the last equation \( \nabla \cdot (hB) = 0 \). Hence in order to develop a Riemann solver for numerical solution of SWMHD model one must needs to relax the equation \( \nabla \cdot (hB) = 0 \). This will need an addition of extra source term as can be seen in [22]. This is one of the reasons to present my work based on developing a numerical scheme without change in source term.

The one and two dimensional SWMHD system has been solved numerically with different schemes [12, 15–17]. Due to the eigenvalue structure of MHD analogue of shallow water system, it is obvious to design a robust and accurate numerical method particularly for one dimensional case to obtain a higher order extension that captures all waves region. This is the second reason to carry out the present work. The aim of this paper is to build a novel method for one dimensional SWMHD model with the following features:

a. The method should be accurate for one dimensional case
b. It is easy to extend the method to higher dimensions
c. There is no need of Riemann solvers
d. There is no need to change the source term

The present work follows [23], in which authors have presented a fourth order CESE method for MHD system. CESE method is widely applied to solve Riemann problems associated to hyperbolic conservation laws. It was first proposed by Chang et al. [3].

Now a large number of studies are available in the literature that demonstrate the CESE method’s robustness, easy implementation process and accuracy [4, 11–15, 23]. The applications of CESE method for MHD problems can be found in [18, 19, 24, 26].

This paper is organized in four sections. Section 1 gives an introduction of SWMHD model and the numerical schemes that have been applied to this system. I have tried to emphasize on the causes that urges to develop a higher order CESE scheme for this model. Section 2 comprises of detailed formulation of fourth order CESE scheme for one dimensional SWMHD equations. Section 3 includes the numerical test cases that demonstrate the reliability of present work. In Section 5, concluding remarks are given about this work and some future extensions.

2. Fourth-Order CESE Scheme

The fourth-order CESE scheme is based on the same basic concept of the second-order CESE scheme in [25]. Let us consider the space-time Cartesian coordinates system $E_3(x, y, t)$, where $x$ and $y$ are the spatial coordinates combined with the time coordinate $t$. Eq.3 can be rewritten as

$$\nabla \cdot h_m = 0,$$

where $h_m = (f_m, g_m, q_m), (m = 1, \ldots, 5)$ is the spacetime flux vector and $\nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)$. Integrating equation reference:3 over the arbitrary control volume $v$ and applying the Gauss divergence theorem in the 3D spacetime domain $E_3(x, y, t)$, allows to write the following form:

$$\oint_{A(v)} h_m \cdot ds = 0,$$

where $A(v)$ denotes the closed boundary surface of any spacetime region $v$ in $E_3(x, y, t)$, $da = (d\delta)n$, with the area $d\delta$ and the unit outward normal vector $n$. Moreover $h_m \cdot ds$ denotes the total spacetime flux leaving the surface element $da$.

Figure 1 shows the projection of conservation element on 2D Cartesian coordinates grid in $(x, y)$ plane at time level $n - \frac{1}{2}$ as the polygon $V_1V_2V_7V_9$. Each vertex $V_i$ is marked as a filled circle. The centroid $C_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, associated to each polygon is marked as hollow circle. The 3D space-time region is divided into non-overlapping quadrilaterals called conservation elements hereafter referred as CE. Each CE is divided into four basic BCEs. The associated BCEs are $V_1V_2V_3V_4$, $V_3V_4V_5V_6$, $V_6V_7V_8V_3$ and $V_8V_3V_2V_9$. The centroid of each BCE is marked as $C_i$ and the centroid of CE is marked as $G$. Due to uniform grid, the points $G$ and $V_3$ coincide.

The staggered CESE scheme is designed in a way that the solution at BCE cell centers $C_i$ are obtained during the first half time step and the solution at the vertices (in our case $G$) is updated in the second half time step. For each solution point $G$ there is an
associated solution element (SE). The role of solution element is to get Taylor approximation of flux variables \( q_m, f_m \) and \( g_m \). Consider for example the solution point \( C'_i \). The corresponding CE is the polygon \( V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 V'_1 V'_2 V'_3 V'_4 \) while the SE is the union of three planes \( V'_1 V'_2 V'_3 V'_4, A_1 A_3 A'_1 A'_3 \) and \( A_2 A_4 A'_2 A'_4 \). This space-time discretization implies that the boundaries of CEs are parallel to the coordinate planes and also their outward unit normal vectors are along the coordinate axis. It results only one component of total space-time flux vector \( (f, g, q) \) passing through boundary planes of CEs and BCEs. The conservation law form of shallow water MHD equations holds for an arbitrary closed spacetime domain and the defined CE is also closed space-time region so the conservation law can be transformed to discrete form. Continuing the example of solution point \( C'_i \) and impose the conservation law \ref{eq:conservation-law} on its CE. Contrary to the finite difference schemes, the CESE method is extended to higher order schemes based on same grid and associated CEs and SEs which is one of the reason for convenient extension of CESE scheme to higher order as compared to other numerical methods. Equation \ref{eq:conservation-law} implies that

\[
\oint_{S(CE(C'_i))} \mathbf{h}_m \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \oint_{S(V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 V'_1 V'_2 V'_3 V'_4)} \mathbf{h}_m \cdot d\mathbf{s}. \tag{7}
\]

The solution at \( C'_i \) is updated by carrying out integration over the bottom surface \( V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4 \), side surfaces \( V'_1 V'_2 V'_2, V'_2 V'_3 V'_3, V'_3 V'_4 V'_4 \) and \( V'_4 V'_1 V'_1 \) and the top surface \( V'_1 V'_2 V'_3 V'_4 \). The unit outward normal to the bottom surface is \((0, 0, -1)\). Hence only space-time flux \( q \) passes through this surface. As seen in Figure 2, the bottom surface is divided into
Figure 2: Schematic diagram of CE and SE

four parts. On each part \( q_m \) is approximated by third-order Taylor expansion at points \( V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 \). The integration over \( V_1A_2C_1A_4 \) is carried out using the third-order Taylor expansion of \( q_m \) at the point \( V_1 \). It gives following result:

\[
\int_{(i - \frac{1}{2})\Delta x(j - \frac{1}{2})\Delta y}^{i \Delta x} \{ (q_m)_{V_1} + (q_{mx})_{V_1} \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + (q_{my})_{V_1} \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (q_{mx})_{V_1} \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} (q_{my})_{V_1} \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right]^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (q_{mx})_{V_1} + (q_{my})_{V_1} \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{6} (q_{xxx})_{V_1} \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right]^3 + \frac{1}{6} (q_{yyy})_{V_1} \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right]^3 \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_1} + (q_{myx})_{V_1} + (q_{mxy})_{V_1} \right] \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right]^2 \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_1} + (q_{myx})_{V_1} + (q_{mxx})_{V_1} \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right]^2 \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \} dxdy \\
= \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{4} \left\{ (q_m)_{V_1} + (q_{mx})_{V_1} \frac{\Delta x}{4} + (q_{my})_{V_1} \frac{\Delta y}{4} + (q_{mxx})_{V_1} \frac{\Delta x^2}{24} + (q_{myy})_{V_1} \frac{\Delta y^2}{24} \\
+ \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_1} + (q_{myx})_{V_1} \right] \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{32} + (q_{mxx})_{V_1} \frac{\Delta x^3}{192} + (q_{myy})_{V_1} \frac{\Delta y^3}{192} \\
+ \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_1} + (q_{myx})_{V_1} + (q_{mxx})_{V_1} \right] \frac{\Delta x^2 \Delta y}{288} \\
+ \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_1} + (q_{myx})_{V_1} + (q_{mxx})_{V_1} \right] \frac{\Delta y^2 \Delta x}{288} \right\}. \tag{8}
\]
The integration over the surface $V_2A_2C_1A_3$ results as follows:

$$
\int_{x=1}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x} \int_{y=1}^{(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y} \left\{ (q_m)_{V_2} + (q_mx)_{V_2} \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + (q_{my})_{V_2} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (q_{mxy})_{V_2} \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + \frac{1}{2} (q_{myy})_{V_2} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{6} (q_{mxy})_{V_2} \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + \frac{1}{6} (q_{myy})_{V_2} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \Delta y \right) \right]^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_2} + (q_{my})_{V_2} \right] \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x \right) \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \Delta y \right) \right] \right\} dx dy \\
= \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{4} \left\{ (q_m)_{V_2} - (q_m)_{V_2} \frac{\Delta x}{32} + (q_{mxy})_{V_2} \frac{\Delta x^2}{192} + (q_{myy})_{V_2} \frac{\Delta y^3}{192} \\
- \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_2} + (q_{my})_{V_2} + (q_{mxx})_{V_2} \right] \frac{\Delta y^2 \Delta x}{288} \\
+ \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_2} + (q_{myxx})_{V_2} + (q_{mxy})_{V_2} \right] \frac{\Delta x^2 \Delta y}{288} \right\}. \tag{9}
$$

The integration over polygon $V_3A_3C_1A_4$ gives:

$$
\int_{x=1}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x} \int_{y=1}^{(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y} \left\{ (q_m)_{V_3} + (q_mx)_{V_3} \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + (q_{my})_{V_3} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{2} (q_{mxy})_{V_3} \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + \frac{1}{2} (q_{myy})_{V_3} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{6} (q_{mxy})_{V_3} \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x \right) \right] + \frac{1}{6} (q_{myy})_{V_3} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \Delta y \right) \right]^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V_3} + (q_{my})_{V_3} + (q_{mxx})_{V_3} \right] \left[ x - \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x \right) \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \Delta y \right) \right] \right\} dx dy
$$
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{4} \left\{ (q_m)_{V^3} - (q_{mx})_{V^3} \frac{\Delta x}{4} - (q_{my})_{V^3} \frac{\Delta y}{4} + (q_{mxx})_{V^3} \frac{1}{24} \Delta x^2 + (q_{myy})_{V^3} \frac{1}{24} \Delta y^2 \\
&\quad + \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V^3} + (q_{myx})_{V^3} \right] \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{32} - \left[ (q_{mxxx})_{V^3} \right] \frac{\Delta x^3}{192} - \left[ (q_{myyy})_{V^3} \right] \frac{\Delta y^3}{192}
\right.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, integration on the part \( V_4 A_1 C_1 A_4 \) gives:

\[
\int_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{i+\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x \int_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} \Delta y \left\{ (q_m)_{V^4} + (q_{mx})_{V^4} \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] + (q_{my})_{V^4} \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} (q_{mxx})_{V^4} \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V^4} + (q_{myx})_{V^4} \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ x - \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta x \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \right.
\]

\[
= \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{4} \left\{ (q_m)_{V^4} + (q_{mx})_{V^4} \frac{\Delta x}{4} - (q_{my})_{V^4} \frac{\Delta y}{4} + (q_{mxx})_{V^4} \frac{\Delta x^2}{24} + (q_{myy})_{V^4} \frac{\Delta y^2}{24}
\right.
\]

\[
\left. - \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V^4} + (q_{myx})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{32} - \left[ (q_{mxxx})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta x^3}{192} - \left[ (q_{myyy})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta y^3}{192}
\right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V^4} + (q_{myx})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{288} - \left[ (q_{mxxx})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta x^3}{192} - \left[ (q_{myyy})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta y^3}{192}
\right.
\]

\[
\left. - \left[ (q_{mxy})_{V^4} + (q_{myx})_{V^4} \right] \frac{\Delta x \Delta y}{288} \right\}. \tag{11}
\]

The unit outward normal to the side surfaces \( V_1 V'_1 V'_2 V_2 \) and \( V_3 V'_3 V'_4 V_4 \) are \((1, 0, 0)\) and \((-1, 0, 0)\) respectively. Hence only space-time flux \( f \) passes through these planes. Each side surface is divided into two parts and the integration over each part is carried out using the third-order Taylor expansion of fluxes at the near solution point.

Consider \( V_1 V'_1 V'_2 V_2 \) as an example. It is the union of two polygons \( V_1 V'_1 A_1 A'_1 \) and \( A_1 A'_1 V_2 V'_2 \). The integration over \( V_1 V'_1 A_1 A'_1 \) uses the Taylor expansion of \( f_m \) at \( V_1 \) and the integration over \( A_1 A'_1 V_2 V'_2 \) uses the Taylor expansion of \( f_m \) at \( V_4 \).

The integration over the surface \( V_1 V'_1 A_1 A'_1 \) implies following results:

\[
\int_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} \Delta y \int_{(k-\frac{1}{2})}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} \Delta t \left\{ (f_m)_{V_1} + (f_{my})_{V_1} \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] + (f_{mt})_{V_1} \left[ t - \left( k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (f_{mxx})_{V_1} + (f_{myy})_{V_1} \right] \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left( k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \right.
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (f_{mxy})_{V_1} + (f_{myx})_{V_1} \right] \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{1}{6} \left[ (f_{mxy})_{V_1} + (f_{myx})_{V_1} \right] \left[ y - \left( j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \left[ y - \left( j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \right] \right. \]
The integration on the surface 
and on the surface 
A

\[ + \frac{1}{2} (f_{myy})_V \left[ y - \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} (f_{mtt})_V \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right]^2 \]

\[ + (f_{myt})_V \left[ y - \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{6} (f_{myyy})_V \left[ y - \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right]^3 + \frac{1}{6} (f_{mttt})_V \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right]^3 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} (f_{myyt})_V \left[ y - \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right]^2 \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} (f_{myty})_V \left[ y - \left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right]^2 \] \right\} dy dt

\[ = \frac{\Delta y \Delta t}{4} \left\{ \left(f_m\right)_V + (f_{my})_V \frac{\Delta y}{4} + \left(f_{mt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta t}{4} + \left(f_{myy}\right)_V \frac{\Delta y^2}{24} + \left(f_{mtt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta t^2}{24} \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{\Delta y \Delta t}{16} \left\{ \left(f_{myy}\right)_V \frac{\Delta y^3}{192} + \left(f_{mttt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta t^3}{192} \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{\Delta y \Delta t^2}{96} \left\{ \left(f_{myyt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta y \Delta t^2}{96} \right\}, \] (12)

and on the surface \( A_1 A'_3 V_4 V'_4 \), gives

\[ \int_{\Delta y}^{(j + \frac{1}{2}) \Delta y} \int_{(k - \frac{1}{2}) \Delta t}^{k \Delta t} \left\{ \left(f_m\right)_V + (f_{my})_V \left[ y - \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right] + \left(f_{mt}\right)_V \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right] \right\} \]

\[ \quad + \frac{1}{2} (f_{myy})_V \left[ y - \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} (f_{mtt})_V \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right]^2 \]

\[ + (f_{myt})_V \left[ y - \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y \right] \left[ t - \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta t \right] \] \right\} dy dt

\[ = \frac{\Delta y \Delta t}{4} \left\{ \left(f_m\right)_V \frac{\Delta y}{4} + \left(f_{myt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta t}{4} + \left(f_{myy}\right)_V \frac{\Delta y^2}{24} + \left(f_{mtt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta t^2}{24} \right\} \]

\[ - \frac{\Delta y \Delta t}{16} \left\{ \left(f_{myy}\right)_V \frac{\Delta y^3}{192} + \left(f_{mttt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta t^3}{192} \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{\Delta y \Delta t^2}{96} \left\{ \left(f_{myyt}\right)_V \frac{\Delta y \Delta t^2}{96} \right\}, \] (13)

The integration on the surface \( V_2 V_4 A_3 A_1 \) and \( A_3 A'_3 V'_4 V_3 \) is similar to that on \( V_1 V'_1 A'_1 A_1 \) and \( A_1 A'_1 V'_1 V_1 \) with the only difference that the outward normal vector is on opposite
Combining all of above integration gives the following time marching scheme:

\[
\int_{(i-\frac{1}{2})\Delta x(j-\frac{1}{2})\Delta y}^{(i+\frac{1}{2})\Delta x(j+\frac{1}{2})\Delta y} \left\{ (q_{mx})_c \left[ x - i\Delta x \right] + (q_{my})_c \left[ y - j\Delta y \right] 
+ \frac{1}{2} (q_{mxx})_c \left[ x - i\Delta x \right]^2 + \frac{1}{2} (q_{myy})_c \left[ y - j\Delta y \right]^2 
+ \frac{1}{6} (q_{mxx})_c \left[ x - i\Delta x \right]^3 + \frac{1}{6} (q_{myy})_c \left[ y - j\Delta y \right]^3 
+ \frac{1}{6} (q_{mxx})_c \left[ x - i\Delta x \right]^3 + \frac{1}{6} (q_{myy})_c \left[ y - j\Delta y \right]^3 
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (q_{myy})_c + (q_{mxy})_c + (q_{myy})_c \right] \left[ x - i\Delta x \right][y - j\Delta y]^2 
+ \frac{1}{6} \left[ (q_{myy})_c + (q_{mxy})_c + (q_{myy})_c \right] \left[ x - i\Delta x \right]^2[y - j\Delta y] \right\} dxdy 
= \Delta x\Delta y \left\{ (q_{mx})_c + (q_{mxx})_c \frac{\Delta x^2}{24} + (q_{myy})_c \frac{\Delta y^2}{24} \right\}.
\]

Combining all of above integration gives the following time marching scheme:

\[
(q_{m})_{i,j}^n = \frac{1}{\Delta x\Delta y} \sum_{l=1}^{4} \left\{ (q_{m})_{l}^{n-1/2} + (q_{mx})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_x \frac{\Delta x}{4} \right) + (q_{my})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_y \frac{\Delta y}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{24} \left[ (q_{mxx})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_x \Delta x \right)^2 + (q_{myy})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_y \Delta y \right)^2 \right] 
+ \frac{1}{32} \left[ (q_{mxy})_{l}^{n-1/2} + (q_{myx})_{l}^{n-1/2} \right] \left( k_x \Delta x \right) \left( k_y \Delta y \right) 
+ \frac{1}{192} \left[ (q_{mxx})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_x \Delta x \right)^3 + (q_{myy})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_y \Delta y \right)^3 \right] 
+ \frac{288}{(k_x \Delta x)^2 \Delta y} \left( q_{myxx} \right)_{l}^{n-1/2} + \frac{288}{(k_y \Delta y)^2 \Delta x} \left( q_{mxyx} \right)_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_{mx} \Delta x \right) \left( k_{my} \Delta y \right) 
+ \frac{k_x \Delta y \Delta t}{4} \left\{ (f_{mx})_{l}^{n-1/2} + (f_{my})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_y \frac{\Delta y}{4} \right) + (f_{mt})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( \frac{\Delta t}{4} \right) \right\} 
+ \frac{1}{24} \left[ (f_{mtt})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( \Delta t \right)^2 + (f_{myy})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_y \Delta y \right)^2 \right] 
+ \frac{1}{16} (f_{myy})_{l}^{n-1/2} \left( k_y \Delta y \right) \Delta t \right\}
\]
Similarly the third-order derivatives can be calculated using the chain rule. The third derivatives are obtained according to the chain rule. The detail of these derivatives is presented below.

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial \xi} = \sum_{r=1}^{5} \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial q_r} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial \xi},
\end{equation}

Differentiating again and applying the chain rule gives the following second order flux component’s derivatives:

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial \xi^2\partial \phi} = \sum_{r=1}^{5} \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial q_r} \frac{\partial^2 q_r}{\partial \xi^2 \partial \phi} + \sum_{r=1}^{5} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial q_r \partial q_s} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial q_s}{\partial \phi}, \quad \xi = x, y, t; \phi = x, y, t,
\end{equation}

and Similarly the third-order derivatives can be calculated using the chain rule. The third order derivatives are given below.

\begin{equation}
f_{mxxx} = \sum_{p=1}^{5} \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial q_p} q_{\xi \phi \chi} + \sum_{r=1}^{5} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial q_r \partial q_s} (q_{r \xi \phi} q_{s \chi} + q_{r \xi \chi} q_{s \phi} + q_{r \phi \chi} q_{s \xi})
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \sum_{r=1}^{5} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \sum_{t=1}^{5} \frac{\partial^3 f_m}{\partial q_r \partial q_s \partial q_t} q_{r \xi \phi} q_{s \chi} q_{t \xi}, \quad \xi = x, y, t; \phi = x, y, t; \chi = x, y, t,
\end{equation}

where $m = 1, 2, \cdots, 5$ is the number of equations, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ represents the four nodes $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4$ and $k_x, k_y$ are 1 or -1 depending upon the position of solution point $C_1$ relative to four nodes $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4$.

### 2.1. Higher order derivatives of flux function $f_m$ and $g_m$

The space and time derivatives ($f_{m \xi}, f_{m \xi \xi}, f_{m \xi \xi \xi}, g_{m \xi}, g_{m \xi \xi}, g_{m \xi \xi \xi}$, $\xi = x, y, t$) etc are obtained according to the chain rule. The detail of these derivatives is presented below only for the flux function $f_m$. The higher order derivatives for $g_m$ are obtained in the same manner and hence the details are omitted.
The partial derivatives of flux components \( \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial q_r} \), \( \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial q_r \partial q_s} \), and \( \frac{\partial^3 f_m}{\partial q_r \partial q_s \partial q_t} \) are the entries of the Jacobian matrices. For shallow water MHD equations, these derivatives are obtained by Matlab symbolic computations specially for the third order case. The computation of time derivatives of flux variables are obtained by using the conservation law.

\[
q_{mt} = -f_{mx} - g_{my}.
\]  

Taking derivative with respect to space variable \( x \) on both sides and treating the mixed space and time derivatives same allows to write down

\[
q_{mxt} = -f_{mxx} - g_{myx}.
\]  

Similarly, for time variable \( t \)

\[
q_{mtt} = -f_{mxt} - g_{myt}.
\]  

Using the values of partial derivatives of \( f_m \) and \( g_m \) provides the values of \( q_{mtt} \) which gives the values for \( f_{mtt} \) according to above equations. Again taking the time and space derivatives on both sides of equation 23 follows

\[
q_{mxtt} = -f_{mxxt} - g_{myxt}.
\]  

and

\[
q_{mttt} = -f_{mxtt} - g_{mytt}.
\]  

Using the values of partial derivatives of \( f_m \) and \( g_m \) provides the values of \( q_{mtt} \) which gives the values for \( f_{mttt} \) according to above equations. Similarly we can find the higher order time derivatives for \( g_m \) only be changing the differentiation with respect to \( y \) in place of \( x \).

### 2.2. Spatial Derivatives of Conserved variables

At this point, all the time derivatives of conservative variables are available through the derivatives of flux \( f_m \) and the flux derivatives. Now at the end it is only left to get the space derivative variables of \( q_m \) such as \( q_{m\xi}, q_{m\xi\phi}, \) and \( q_{m\xi\phi\chi} \) \( \xi = x,y; \ \phi = x,y; \ \chi = x,y \). The first derivative \( q_{m\xi} \) is updated at the \( i \)th node using the updated values of \( q_m \) obtained from Equation 21. In general each higher order space derivatives of conserved variables is updated using the updated values of next higher order derivative. Now, for the fourth-order scheme, the values of two more derivatives \( q_{m\xi\phi} \) and \( q_{m\xi\phi\chi} \) are required to update. Proceeding in following steps allows to get the updated values of all the derivatives:

1: updating \( q_{m\xi\phi\chi} \) at \( C_i \);
2: updating \( q_{m\xi\phi} \) at \( C_i \);
3: updating \( q_m \) at \( C_i \);
4: updating \( q_{m\xi} \) at \( C_i \)

The details are omitted and the reader is referred to the work of Yang Yun et al [23] for details.
2.3. Divergence Constraint

The SWMHD system is augmented by the divergence constraint $\nabla \cdot (hB)$. The numerical solution obtained from the CESE method must satisfy this equation. Hence a divergence cleaning procedure is required to embed in the CESE method. The projection method has been adopted for this purpose as seen in the work [2], [16] and [20]. Consider the updated values $(h^{n+1}, (hu_1)^{n+1}, (hu_2)^{n+1}, (hB_1)^{n+1}, (hB_2)^{n+1})$. It readily gives $B_1^* = \frac{(hB_1)^{n+1}}{h^{n+1}}$ and $B_2^* = \frac{(hB_2)^{n+1}}{h^{n+1}}$. Define $B^* = (B_1^*, B_2^*)$ as the vector of magnetic field components. This is decomposed into curl of magnetic potential $A$ and divergence of potential function $\phi$ as

$$B^* = \nabla \times A + \nabla \phi. \quad (26)$$

Taking the divergence on both sides of above equation gives

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \nabla \cdot B^*. \quad (27)$$

Solving equation 27 for $\phi$ gives the divergence free magnetic field at time level $t = t^{n+1}$ by $B^{n+1} = B^* - \nabla \phi$.

3. Numerical Test cases

This section presents one and two dimensional test problems taken from literature. Both 2nd-order and 4th-order CESE schemes have been applied to these problem.

3.1. One Dimensional Riemann Problem

A numerical test is performed to demonstrate the robustness and the accuracy of 4th-order CESE scheme over 2nd-order CESE scheme. A strong Riemann problem has been solved here. This problem is taken from [22]. The initial conditions are given as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} h \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} [1, 0, 0, 1, 0]^T, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ [2, 0, 0, 0.5, 1]^T, & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

The problem is solved with periodic boundary conditions and domain $\Omega = [-1, 1]$ at $t = 0.4$. The results are shown in Figures 3-8. The L2 errors and experimental order of convergence for 4th-order CESE scheme have been listed in table have been listed in table 1. As expected, it is clear seen that the 4th-order CESE scheme with 500 grid points shows remarkable details in the solution compared to the 2nd-order CESE scheme at grid of 1000 nodes. The zoomed plot reveals better performance of 4th order CESE scheme near discontinuities as compared to the second order CESE scheme. The execution time for both schemes is observed on intel core i7 8th Generation machine. It comes out that
the second order CESE scheme takes 8 seconds and the 4th order CESE scheme takes 12 seconds to produce these results. Hence the 4th order CESE scheme is computationally more efficient with higher order accuracy.

Table 1: Error Analysis of 4th-order CESE Scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Grid points</th>
<th>L2-Error</th>
<th>Experimental Order of Convergence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.00435</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.000220</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.00001932</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.0000015</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence it concludes that the 4th-order CESE scheme advantages over the 2nd-order CESE scheme by showing much better results. It follows that the 4th-order scheme is computationally more efficient and accurate.

4. Two Dimensional Riemann Problem Simulation

The numerical test has been performed to demonstrate the robustness and the accuracy of 4th-order CESE scheme over 2nd-order CESE schem.

Problem 1: Multidimensional Steady-State Shock This problem is taken from [22] in which authors have applied the 2nd-order CESE method to this problem. The computational domain \((x, y) \in [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]\) is divided into 200 \times 200 grid with \(g = 1\) and the initial data is given as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
h \\
u_1 \\
u_2 \\
B_1 \\
B_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases}
[1, 4.5, 0, 2, 0]^T, & \text{if } y \leq 0 \\
[2, 5.5, 0, 0.5, 0]^T, & \text{if } y > 0
\end{cases}
\] (29)

The problem is solved with outflow boundary conditions at the top, bottom and right boundaries whereas inflow boundary condition at the left boundary specified with above mentioned initial data. The results at time \(t = 0.25\) are shown in Figures 9 - 11. As expected, it is clear seen that the fourth-order scheme shows remarkable details in the solution compared to the second -order scheme at same grid. The results from higher order scheme are much more dense as compared to the 2nd-order scheme.

5. Conclusions

The fourth-order CESE method is presented in detail for SWMHD equations and tested for a problem taken from literature. The benchmark test revealed excellent characteristics
of the method. The scheme is based on unified treatment of space and time variables that allows a systematic and easy extension to higher dimensions as well as to arbitrary higher order scheme. The scheme is computationally efficient and accurate and outperforms the second-order CESE method even with less grid.
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Figure 5: $v_1$ at time $t = 0.4$.

Figure 6: $v_2$ at time $t = 0.4$.

(a) Results of 4th-order CESE method
(b) Results of 2nd-order CESE method

Figure 9: Height $h$ computed at $t = 0.25$
Figure 7: $B_1$ at time $t = 0.4$.

Figure 8: $B_2$ at time $t = 0.4$.

Figure 10: $u_x$ computed at $t = 0.25$.
Figure 11: $b_x$ computed at $t = 0.25$
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